# School Health Incentive Program -- Projected State Grants for Fiscal Year 2002

(Note: The amounts for "school nurse services" funds (column 3) and expenditures (column 4) are based on school nurse salary and fringe benefit costs. Where a positive difference is calculated between funding and expenditures below (column 5), this amount may be applied towards the indicated FY 2002 required local match (column 10). Where a prorated reduction to the formula-driven grant (column 7) is shown below (column 8), divisions must notify the department in cases where school nurse services were provided in FY 1999 through alternative arrangements with the costs not recorded as school nurse salary and benefits on the 1998-1999 Annual School Report (e.g., contracted with local health department or other provider and costs charged to purchased services). In such cases, divisions are required to submit documentation supporting the costs of such alternative service arrangements that were not reported as school nurse salary and benefits on the 1998-1999 Annual School Report.

		(column 3)	(column 4)	(column 5)	(column 6)	(column 7)	(column 8)	(column 9)	(column 10)
	Division	Estimated FY 1999 State and Local SOQ Funds for School Nurse Services	Reported FY 1999 Expenditures for School Nurse Services (from 1998-99 ASR)	Difference between Expenditures and Funding	<sup>1</sup> Percentage Proration Factor Based on Appropriation Act Requirement (see Attachment A)		Factor (see Appropriation Act Requirement in		Projected FY 2002 Required Local Match
001	ACCOMACK	\$115,380	\$191,600	\$76,220	100.0%	\$23,265	N/A	.3151	\$10,703
002	ALBEMARLE	\$257,179	\$0	(\$257,179)	0.0%	\$8,235	\$0	.6339	\$0
003	<sup>2</sup> ALLEGHANY	\$44,416	\$15,259	(\$29,157)	34.4%	\$4,647	\$1,596	.3128	\$727
004	AMELIA	\$38,218	\$29,472	(\$8,746)	77.1%	\$3,834	\$2,957	.3500	\$1,592
005	AMHERST	\$102,135	\$85,315	(\$16,820)	83.5%	\$9,170	\$7,660	.3182	\$3,575
006	APPOMATTOX	\$51,615	\$83,434	\$31,818	100.0%	\$4,982	N/A	.3121	\$2,260
007	ARLINGTON	\$465,554	\$0	(\$465,554)	100.0%	\$18,349	N/A	.8000	\$73,396
800	AUGUSTA	\$239,611	\$70,413	(\$169,198)	29.4%	\$12,120	\$3,562	.3638	\$2,037
009	BATH	\$15,314	\$0	(\$15,314)	0.0%	\$370	\$0	.8000	\$0
010	BEDFORD	\$204,119	\$250,470	\$46,351	100.0%	\$14,342	N/A	.3996	\$9,545
011	BLAND	\$19,515	\$0	(\$19,515)	71.2%	\$1,655	\$1,179	.2748	\$447
012	BOTETOURT	\$101,186	\$186,049	\$84,862	100.0%	\$3,098	N/A	.4148	\$2,196
013	BRUNSWICK	\$56,591	\$86,684	\$30,093	100.0%	\$11,221	N/A	.2822	\$4,411
014	BUCHANAN	\$96,025	\$26,584	(\$69,441)	27.7%	\$17,952	\$4,970	.2573	\$1,722
015	BUCKINGHAM	\$47,932	\$985	(\$46,947)	100.0%	\$9,339	N/A	.2694	\$3,444
016	CAMPBELL	\$186,581	\$35,087	(\$151,495)	18.8%	\$16,631	\$3,127	.3056	\$1,376
017	CAROLINE	\$82,093	\$69,114	(\$12,979)	84.2%	\$10,780	\$9,076	.3169	\$4,210
018	CARROLL	\$86,484	\$171,355	\$84,871	100.0%	\$10,792	N/A	.2952	\$4,520
019	CHARLES CITY	\$17,967	\$63,267	\$45,300	100.0%	\$2,313	N/A	.4048	\$1,573
020	CHARLOTTE	\$47,991	\$109,082	\$61,091	100.0%	\$7,592	N/A	.2469	\$2,489
021	CHESTERFIELD	\$723,983	\$154,225	(\$569,758)	100.0%	\$47,584	N/A	.4055	\$32,456
022	CLARKE	\$41,744	\$39,217	(\$2,527)	93.9%	\$1,341	\$1,260	.5170	\$1,349
023	CRAIG	\$15,277	\$680	(\$14,597)	100.0%	\$942	N/A	.3416	\$489
024	CULPEPER	\$119,384	\$292,206	\$172,823	100.0%	\$12,143	N/A	.3999	\$8,092
025	CUMBERLAND	\$27,234	\$17,979	(\$9,255)	66.0%	\$5,559	\$3,670	.3394	\$1,885

## Attachment B to Info. Memo No. 131

	Division	Estimated FY 1999 State and Local SOQ Funds for School Nurse Services	Reported FY 1999 Expenditures for School Nurse Services (from 1998-99 ASR)	Difference between Expenditures and Funding	Attachment A)	Payment - <u>Unprorated</u>	Projected FY 2002 State Payment - <u>Prorated</u> Based on Proration Factor (see Appropriation Act Requirement in Attachment A)	2000-2002 Composite Index	2002 Required Local Match
026	DICKENSON	\$61,994	\$135,746	\$73,752	100.0%	\$11,108	N/A	.2358	\$3,427
027	DINWIDDIE	\$92,823	\$70,689	(\$22,134)	76.2%	\$10,209	\$7,775	.2940	\$3,238
028	ESSEX	\$36,148	\$4,729	(\$31,419)	13.1%	\$4,395	\$575	.4529	\$476
029	FAIRFAX	\$1,734,538		(\$1,734,538)	100.0%	\$88,351	N/A	.7171	\$223,954
030	FAUQUIER	\$202,683	\$0	(\$202,683)	21.1%	\$5,805	\$1,227	.6115	\$1,932
031	FLOYD	\$40,760	\$652	(\$40,108)	100.0%	\$3,395	N/A	.3496	\$1,825
032	FLUVANNA	\$62,877	\$0	(\$62,877)	0.0%	\$3,486	\$0	.3817	\$0
033	FRANKLIN	\$153,012	\$217,795	\$64,783	100.0%	\$13,152	N/A	.3923	\$8,490
034	FREDERICK	\$222,786	\$422,794	\$200,009	100.0%	\$8,670	N/A	.3842	\$5,409
035	GILES	\$53,773	\$46,397	(\$7,376)	100.0%	\$4,812	N/A	.3182	\$2,246
036	GLOUCESTER	\$145,786	\$268,679	\$122,893	100.0%	\$11,818	N/A	.3255	\$5,703
037	GOOCHLAND	\$41,460	\$77,934	\$36,474	100.0%	\$846	N/A	.8000	\$3,384
038	GRAYSON	\$49,955	\$78,304	\$28,348	100.0%	\$6,397	N/A	.2859	\$2,561
039	GREENE	\$54,673	\$31,752	(\$22,921)	58.1%	\$3,630	\$2,108	.3267	\$1,023
040	GREENSVILLE	\$35,315	\$40,461	\$5,146	100.0%	\$7,476	N/A	.2483	\$2,469
041	HALIFAX	\$136,153	\$21,098	(\$115,054)	15.5%	\$16,020	\$2,482	.3870	\$1,567
042	HANOVER	\$346,872	\$159,267	(\$187,605)	72.4%	\$9,069	\$6,563	.4693	\$5,803
043	HENRICO	\$878,487	\$657,045	(\$221,442)	74.8%	\$50,573	\$37,825	.5214	\$41,208
044	HENRY	\$198,168	\$166,557	(\$31,612)	84.0%	\$20,453	\$17,190	.3070	\$7,615
045	HIGHLAND	\$4,822	\$0	(\$4,822)	0.0%	\$455	\$0	.5502	\$0
046	ISLE OF WIGHT	\$107,890	\$137,692	\$29,802	100.0%	\$10,513	N/A	.3749	\$6,305
047	JAMES CITY	\$158,176	\$368,115	\$209,939	100.0%	\$7,232	N/A	.6404	\$12,879
048	KING GEORGE	\$65,214	\$106,190	\$40,977	100.0%	\$4,520	N/A	.3539	\$2,476
049	KING QUEEN	\$19,293	\$33,920	\$14,627	100.0%	\$3,925	N/A	.4021	\$2,640
050	KING WILLIAM	\$36,982	\$43,355	\$6,373	100.0%	\$2,893	N/A	.3662	\$1,672
051	LANCASTER	\$34,479	\$98,608	\$64,129	100.0%	\$2,687	N/A	.6395	\$4,767
052	LEE	\$83,721	\$0	(\$83,721)	0.0%	\$20,153	\$0	.1886	\$0
053	LOUDOUN	\$662,040	\$449,812	(\$212,228)	67.9%	\$11,312	\$7,686	.6571	\$14,728
054	LOUISA	\$89,387	\$206,129	\$116,742	100.0%	\$4,657	N/A	.6624	\$9,137
055	LUNENBURG	\$41,757	\$67,189	\$25,432	100.0%	\$7,632	N/A	.2448	\$2,474
056	MADISON	\$40,427	\$38,397	(\$2,031)	95.0%	\$2,707	\$2,571	.4005	\$1,718
057	MATHEWS	\$28,002	\$59,109	\$31,107	100.0%	\$1,675	N/A	.4798	\$1,545
058	MECKLENBURG	\$110,402	\$0	(\$110,402)	0.0%	\$14,836	\$0	.3346	\$0
059	MIDDLESEX	\$30,131	\$72,941	\$42,810	100.0%	\$1,988	N/A	.5658	\$2,591
060	MONTGOMERY	\$200,026	\$102,555	(\$97,471)	51.3%	\$15,263	\$7,825	.3812	\$4,821
062	NELSON	\$44,800	\$328	(\$44,471)	100.0%	\$3,589	N/A	.5036	\$3,641
063	NEW KENT	\$50,251	\$72,934	\$22,684	100.0%	\$2,057	N/A	.4230	\$1,508
065	NORTHAMPTON	\$50,633	\$191,111	\$140,478	100.0%	\$9,514	N/A	.3230	\$4,539
066	NORTHUMBERLAND	\$31,970	\$76,836	\$44,866	100.0%	\$2,443	N/A	.6221	\$4,022

## Attachment B to Info. Memo No. 131

							Drainated EV 2002 State		
					<sup>1</sup> Percentage Proration		Projected FY 2002 State Payment - Prorated		
		Estimated FY 1999	Reported FY 1999	Difference	Factor Based on	Projected FY	Based on Proration		
		State and Local SOQ	Expenditures for	between	Appropriation Act	•	Factor (see Appropriation	2000-2002	Projected FY
		Funds for School	School Nurse Services	Expenditures	Requirement (see	Payment -	Act Requirement in		2002 Required
	Division	Nurse Services	(from 1998-99 ASR)	and Funding	Attachment A)	Unprorated	Attachment A)	Index	Local Match
067	NOTTOWAY	\$53,169	\$90,296	\$37,127	100.0%	\$10,312	N/A	.2584	\$3,593
068	ORANGE	\$80,917	\$109,728	\$28,811	100.0%	\$6,224	N/A	.4294	\$4,684
069	PAGE	\$77,305	\$83,842	\$6,537	100.0%	\$7,872	N/A	.3088	\$3,517
070	PATRICK	\$59,898	\$38,300	(\$21,597)	63.9%	\$6,545	\$4,185	.2993	\$1,788
071	PITTSYLVANIA	\$202,724	\$171,351	(\$31,374)	84.5%	\$21,164	\$17,889	.2805	\$6,974
072	POWHATAN	\$73,653	\$26,011	(\$47,642)	35.3%	\$2,245	\$793	.4034	\$536
073	PRINCE EDWARD	\$57,904	\$65,198	\$7,294	100.0%	\$10,234	N/A	.3262	\$4,954
074	PRINCE GEORGE	\$123,431	\$90,979	(\$32,452)	73.7%	\$10,703	\$7,889	.2723	\$2,952
075	PRINCE WILLIAM	\$931,785	\$38,518	(\$893,268)	40.6%	\$74,256	\$30,119	.4031	\$20,340
077	PULASKI	\$91,480	\$55,256	(\$36,224)	100.0%	\$11,099	N/A	.3257	\$5,361
078	RAPPAHANNOCK	\$21,121	\$24,159	\$3,038	100.0%	\$581	N/A	.7130	\$1,443
079	RICHMOND	\$26,472	\$22,048	(\$4,424)	83.3%	\$2,825	\$2,353	.3477	\$1,254
080	ROANOKE	\$299,500	\$312,309	\$12,809	100.0%	\$7,021	N/A	.4264	\$5,219
081	ROCKBRIDGE	\$66,070	\$0	(\$66,070)	0.0%	\$4,327	\$0	.4232	\$0
082	ROCKINGHAM	\$233,239	\$139,054	(\$94,186)	59.6%	\$14,050	\$8,376	.3674	\$4,865
083	RUSSELL	\$89,821	\$0	(\$89,821)	0.0%	\$13,169	\$0	.2705	\$0
084	SCOTT	\$81,041	\$41,750	(\$39,291)	100.0%	\$12,373	N/A	.2298	\$3,692
085	SHENANDOAH	\$113,964	\$215,836	\$101,871	100.0%	\$6,577	N/A	.3908	\$4,219
086	SMYTH	\$24,407	\$94,922	\$70,515	100.0%	\$14,469	N/A	.2625	\$5,150
087	SOUTHAMPTON	\$62,961	\$86,230	\$23,269	100.0%	\$8,931	N/A	.3093	\$3,999
880	SPOTSYLVANIA	\$376,916	\$733,552	\$356,637	100.0%	\$17,855	N/A	.3692	\$10,450
089	STAFFORD	\$418,175	\$660,070	\$241,896	100.0%	\$18,470	N/A	.3429	\$9,638
090	SURRY	\$26,675	\$80,685	\$54,010	100.0%	\$1,162	N/A	.8000	\$4,648
091	SUSSEX	\$32,254	\$16,678	(\$15,576)	51.7%	\$6,867	\$3,551	.3229	\$1,693
092	TAZEWELL	\$165,986	\$152,436	(\$13,550)	91.8%	\$21,899	\$20,111	.2753	\$7,640
093	WARREN	\$103,246	\$168,901	\$65,655	100.0%	\$5,157	N/A	.3951	\$3,368
094	WASHINGTON	\$161,931	\$179,707	\$17,776	100.0%	\$15,020	N/A	.3532	\$8,202
095	WESTMORELAND	\$38,052	\$33,968	(\$4,084)	89.3%	\$6,328	\$5,649	.3909	\$3,625
096	WISE	\$159,718	\$140,129	(\$19,589)	87.7%	\$22,318	\$19,581	.2237	\$5,642
097	WYTHE	\$91,969	\$40,143	(\$51,827)	98.2%	\$8,447	\$8,297	.3282	\$4,054
098	YORK	\$249,028	\$324,512	\$75,484	100.0%	\$8,743	N/A	.3881	\$5,545
101	ALEXANDRIA	\$270,095	\$754,606	\$484,511	100.0%	\$14,413	N/A	.8000	\$57,652
102	BRISTOL	\$51,452	\$54,370	\$2,918	100.0%	\$6,793	N/A	.3583	\$3,793
103	BUENA VISTA	\$20,910	\$0	(\$20,910)	0.0%	\$2,354	\$0	.2518	\$0
104	CHARLOTTESVILLE	\$94,950	\$205,228	\$110,278	100.0%	\$9,880	N/A	.5509	\$12,120
105	CLIFTON FORGE	\$19,067	\$6,557	(\$12,511)	34.4%	\$1,721	\$592	.3128	\$269
106	COLONIAL HEIGHTS	\$59,726	\$95,672	\$35,945	100.0%	\$2,385	N/A	.4940	\$2,328
107	COVINGTON	\$20,238	\$8,123	(\$12,115)	40.1%	\$2,229	\$895	.3358	\$452
108	DANVILLE	\$169,640	\$59,691	(\$109,949)	35.2%	\$32,115	\$11,300	.3037	\$4,929
		+,,	+,	( / /		,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		

#### Attachment B to Info. Memo No. 131

				-					
							Projected FY 2002 State		
					<sup>1</sup> Percentage Proration		Payment - Prorated		
		Estimated FY 1999	Reported FY 1999	Difference	_	Projected FY	Based on Proration		
		State and Local SOQ	Expenditures for	between	Appropriation Act	,	Factor (see Appropriation	2000-2002	Projected FY
		Funds for School	School Nurse Services	Expenditures		Payment -	Act Requirement in	Composite	•
	Division	Nurse Services	(from 1998-99 ASR)	and Funding	Attachment A)	Unprorated	Attachment A)	Index	Local Match
109	FALLS CHURCH	\$16,774	\$0	(\$16,774)	0.0%	\$489	\$0	.8000	\$0
110	FREDERICKSBURG	\$47,411	\$83,060	\$35,649	100.0%	\$3,523	N/A	.6859	\$7,693
111	GALAX	\$27,532	\$13,495	(\$14,037)	49.0%	\$3,583	\$1,756	.3339	\$880
112	HAMPTON	\$513,613	\$1,035,902	\$522,289	100.0%	\$62,996	N/A	.2803	\$24,535
113	HARRISONBURG	\$63,937	\$37,556	(\$26,381)	58.7%	\$7,163	\$4,208	.5493	\$5,128
114	HOPEWELL	\$88,022	\$165,298	\$77,276	100.0%	\$14,149	N/A	.2673	\$5,162
115	LYNCHBURG	\$203,814	\$619,759	\$415,945	100.0%	\$25,722	N/A	.3901	\$16,452
116	MARTINSVILLE	\$57,979	\$84,613	\$26,635	100.0%	\$8,529	N/A	.3210	\$4,032
117	NEWPORT NEWS	\$701,838	\$1,162,717	\$460,879	100.0%	\$108,327	N/A	.2799	\$42,106
118	NORFOLK	\$787,217	\$49,135	(\$738,082)	100.0%	\$166,375	N/A	.2763	\$63,520
119	NORTON	\$16,864	\$0	(\$16,864)	0.0%	\$2,005	\$0	.3501	\$0
120	PETERSBURG	\$133,569	\$323,390	\$189,821	100.0%	\$32,698	N/A	.2240	\$9,439
121	PORTSMOUTH	\$382,899	\$910,537	\$527,638	100.0%	\$76,545	N/A	.2225	\$21,905
122	RADFORD	\$6,130	\$0	(\$6,130)	100.0%	\$1,799	N/A	.3313	\$891
123	RICHMOND CITY	\$575,308	\$1,822,401	\$1,247,093	100.0%	\$111,106	N/A	.4536	\$92,236
124	ROANOKE CITY	\$272,856	\$597,778	\$324,922	100.0%	\$45,661	N/A	.4078	\$31,443
126	STAUNTON	\$36,879	\$66,531	\$29,652	100.0%	\$7,111	N/A	.4131	\$5,005
127	SUFFOLK	\$244,431	\$550,408	\$305,978	100.0%	\$37,804	N/A	.3229	\$18,028
128	VIRGINIA BEACH	\$1,672,353	\$2,524,104	\$851,751	100.0%	\$104,916	N/A	.3523	\$57,066
130	WAYNESBORO	\$48,850	\$105,600	\$56,750	100.0%	\$7,164	N/A	.3730	\$4,262
131	WILLIAMSBURG	\$15,939	\$37,094	\$21,155	100.0%	\$343	N/A	.8000	\$1,372
132	WINCHESTER	\$69,788	\$129,578	\$59,790	100.0%	\$4,467	N/A	.5643	\$5,785
134	FAIRFAX CITY	\$29,880	\$0	(\$29,880)	100.0%	\$1,077	N/A	.8000	\$4,308
135	FRANKLIN CITY	\$36,286	\$68,198	\$31,912	100.0%	\$6,650	N/A	.2973	\$2,813
136	CHESAPEAKE	\$797,832	\$1,670,535	\$872,703	100.0%	\$58,834	N/A	.3517	\$31,917
137	LEXINGTON	\$3,801	\$1,957	(\$1,844)	51.5%	\$923	\$475	.4578	\$401
138	EMPORIA	\$22,948	\$26,281	\$3,333	100.0%	\$4,465	N/A	.3299	\$2,198
139	SALEM	\$82,252	\$131,606	\$49,354	100.0%	\$2,800	N/A	.4370	\$2,173
140	BEDFORD	\$21,640	\$26,554	\$4,914	100.0%	\$1,450	N/A	.3360	\$734
142	POQUOSON	\$53,283	\$118,435	\$65,152	100.0%	\$610	N/A	.3414	\$316
143	MANASSAS	\$130,004	\$272,754	\$142,750	100.0%	\$7,838	N/A	.4296	\$5,903
144	MANASSAS PARK	\$44,836	\$0	(\$44,836)	100.0%	\$4,495	N/A	.3184	\$2,100
202	COLONIAL BEACH	\$13,923	\$10,765	(\$3,158)	77.3%	\$1,688	\$1,305	.3020	\$565
207	WEST POINT	\$17,680	\$26,619	\$8,938	100.0%	\$931	N/A	.3203	\$439
	State Totals	\$22,181,454	\$24,597,066	\$2,415,612		\$2,041,341	\$282,207		\$1,279,687

#### Notes:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>For divisions whose percentage proration factor is shown in bold in column 6, these divisions submitted supplemental documentation in FY 2001 to decrease or eliminate the FY 20 <sup>2</sup>For FY 2002, the entitlement for Alleghany County and the former Clifton Forge City will be paid as a single payment to Alleghany County (003).